

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DÓCKET NO.

09/435,170 11/05/99 OLIVARES H 547

EXAMINER

OM12/0224

JOHN D GUGLIOTTA PE ESQUIRE

202 DELEWARE BUILDING ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

JOHN D GUGLIOTTA PE ESQUIRE 202 DELEWARE BUILDING 137 SOUTH MAIN STREET AKRON OH 44308 PAYER H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3724

DATE MAILED:

02/24/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No.

09/435,170

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Hwei-Siu Payer

Group Art Unit 3724

Olivares



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to responsible application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of t 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	•
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
X Claim(s) 1-3	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims a	
	to subject to recurrence or election requirements
Application Papers	DTO 040
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie	
The drawing(s) filed on Nov 5, 1999 is/are objected to b	by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved 🗖 disapproved.
$oxed{oxed}$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the pr	iority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	,
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the Interna	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOL	LOWING BACCO

Serial Number: 09/435,170

Art Unit: 3724

### **Detailed Action**

The Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on 11-5-1999 has been received.

However, no PTO-1449 has been accompanied with the IDS. Accordingly, the documents cited in the IDS have been transcribed onto the PTO-892 enclosed with this Office action.

## **Drawings Objection**

The drawings are objected to because:

- (1) In Fig.6, reference numeral "15" lacks a lead line.
- (2) In Fig.7, reference element "15b" has been used to designate two different components.

Correction is required.

## **Objection to the Specification**

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- (1) On pages 5 and 8, line II-II is not found in Fig.2.
- (2) On page 8, reference element "15a" is not found in any drawings.
- (3) On page 8, reference element "21c" has been given different designations as "a positive battery contact-- and --a ground wire--

Art Unit: 3724

(4) Reference element "21a" (on page 8 and Fig.7) and reference element "20a" (on page 9 and Fig.8) have been used to designate the same part (i.e. a battery compartment).

Appropriate correction is required.

### **Claims Objection**

Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- (1) In claim 1, line 4, "batter" should read --battery--.
- (2) In claim 1, line 5, "." should be deleted.
- (3) In claim 1, line 6, "motorized head" should read --a motorized head--.
- (4) In claim 1, line 8, "plurality of" should read --a plurality of--.
- (5) In claim 2, line 3, "blade receiving slot" should read --a blade receiving slot--.
- (6) In claim 2, line 6, "locking mechanism" should read --a locking mechanism--.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Serial Number: 09/435,170 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

2. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, "said blades" has no clear antecedent basis.

#### Claim Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Torbet.

Torbet's electric knife comprises a handle (16) having an internal cavity containing an electric motor (36) in a mechanical communication with blade receiving means (109); a rechargeable battery (38) disposed within the handle (16) for supplying power to the electric motor (36); a motorized head (i.e. the portion of the knife that houses the blade receiving means) for providing a base for the blade receiving means (109), a plurality of interchangeable blade elements (see Abstract) capable of attaching, in a removable manner, to the blade receiving means (109), and a locking mechanism (111) as claimed.

Serial Number: 09/435,170 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torbet in

view of Jeffcoat.

Torbet's knife as set forth shows all the claimed features except it lacks a blade receiving

slot in the handle (16) for storing the plurality of blade elements when they are not attached to the

blade receiving means (109).

Jeffcoat teaches (Figs. 19A and 19B, column 12, lines 6-31) a knife comprising a handle

having a slot/compartment formed therein for receiving a plurality of blade elements which can be

stored in the compartment when not in use. Also, the blade elements can be customized to suit an

individual user.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Torbet's knife by providing

the knife handle (16) with a compartment formed therein to facilitate storing additional blade

elements and to provide easy access of the blade elements when needed as taught by Jeffcoat.

The claimed type of blade elements is not patentably distinct, since the type of blade elements

depends more upon the individual user than on any inventive concept.

Serial Number: 09/435,170

Art Unit: 3724

#### **Prior Art Citations**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Burto, Peterson, Christensen, Beveridge, Streger and Yost are cited as art of interest.

#### **Point of Contact**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu Payer her telephone number is (703) 308-1405. She can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at (703) 308-2187.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [rinaldi.rada@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Group FAX number is (703) 305-3579. Any transmission which applicant does not want to be considered as an official response should be clearly marked as "DRAFT".

H. Payer February 22, 2000

> Hwei-Siu Payer Primary Examiner

1 Juni-Sin Payer